

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005

APPLICATION 1	10.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/800,450		03/15/2004	Emmanuel Hadji	33019US1	1230
116	7590	02/08/2005		EXAMINER	
·	E & GORI ST 9TH ST		LUU, CHUONG A		
SUITE 1200			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CLEVEL	AND, OH	44114-3108	2818		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	
		Au
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/800,450	HADJI ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chuong A. Luu	2818
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 Ja 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This 3) Since this application is in condition for allowant closed in accordance with the practice under E 	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the of Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa	

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

6) Other: ___

Art Unit: 2818

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-15 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

PRIOR ART REJECTION

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

The Rejections

Claims 1-2 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Biasse et al (5,993,677).

As to claims 1-2, Biasse discloses a process, wherein molecular bonding of a silicon block (120) having a surface layer (124) delimited by a cleavage area (126) substantially parallel to its surface and the silicon block being covered by silicon oxide layer (112) brought into contact with a support layer (132) (see column 3, lines 14-17 and column 4, lines 38-54);

Art Unit: 2818

Biasse teaches cleavage of the silicon block along the cleavage area to detach the surface layer fixed to the support (see column 4, lines 55-62. Figures 9-10);

Biasse teaches that an etching process is to eliminate or thinning the surface layer in order to have a desired thickness (see column 5, lines 9-11);

As to claim 2, Biasse inherently teaches that the thickness of the surface layer is greater than the desired thickness because after cleavage, an etching is performed for thinning to a desired thickness.

As to claim 4, Biasse teaches that the cleavage zone is formed using hydrogen implantation (see column 3, lines 18-23).

Statutory Basis

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was

Art Unit: 2818

not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

The Rejections

Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Biasse et al (5,993,677) in view of Ohmura et al (4,848,272).

Biasse discusses above in the paragraph 4 but fail to disclose to increase the thickness by crystalline growth. It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art to increase the thickness if the thickness is less than the predetermined thickness and furthermore, crystalline growth is conventional technique to form an epitaxial layer on a silicon substrate as supported by Ohmura. Ohmura teaches that crystalline growth is conventional to provide a high quality thin film having uniform thickness over a semiconductor substrate (see column 1, lines 10-14 and column 2, lines 3-7).

Claims 5-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ramdani et al (5,835,521) in view of Biasse et al (5,993,677).

Ramdani discloses a bragg mirror structure (10) including alternating layers of silicon oxide and a silicon material utilizing epitaxial growth technique and /or wafer bonding, wherein the alternating silicon oxide and silicon layers inherently includes the optical property such as the optical thickness of the alternating layers (see column 3, lines 17-25);

Art Unit: 2818

As to claim 6, Ramdani teaches that silicon oxide layer is formed by standard epitaxial growth technique including CVD or PECVD technique (see column 3, lines 18-25);

Ramdani also discloses that an optical component is formed by fabricating a vertical cavity surface emitting laser or active region on the bragg mirror (see column 3, lines 9-55);

Ramdani also teaches that a second mirror (42) is disposed over the active region (see column 6, lines 4-24);

Ramdani fails to teach the formation of silicon layer as the context of claim 1 namely bonding a silicon block with a support, cleaving the silicon block and thinning the surface layer to a desired thickness. However, Biasse discusses in the paragraph 4 above in order to efficiently form a silicon layer with a desired thickness. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time of claimed invention to combine Biasse 's teaching into Ramdani 's process for easily providing a predetermined thickness of the silicon layer as taught by Biasse.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chuong A. Luu whose telephone number is (571) 272-1902. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:15-2:45).

Art Unit: 2818

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David C. Nelms can be reached on (571) 272-1787. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chuong Anh Luu

Page 6

Examiner

February 5, 2005